

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

In re:

Ianthe Ashanti Cupid,
AKA Ianthe Boreland
AKA Ianthe Rodriquez

Debtor.

-----X

SIRS:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that upon the Affirmation of Lisa L. Wallace Esquire, dated April 21, 2010 and the attached exhibits annexed hereto, the undersigned will move this Court before Honorable Elizabeth S. Stong on May 28, 2010, at 10:00 AM at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York, 271 Cadman Plaza East, Brooklyn, New York 11201, for an order pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §362 (d)(1) and (d)(2) granting OneWest Bank, FSB as Authorized Servicing Agent for Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee for GSR Mortgage Loan Trust 2006 relief from the automatic stay and permitting OneWest Bank, FSB as Authorized Servicing Agent for Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee for GSR Mortgage Loan Trust 2006 to proceed with a foreclosure of its mortgage, and for such other, further and different relief as may seem just, proper and equitable.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that answering affidavits, if any, must be served upon the undersigned and filed with the Court not less than Seven (7) days prior to the return date of this motion.

NOTICE OF MOTION TO
VACATE AUTOMATIC STAY

CHAPTER 7
CASE 10-40941
Bankruptcy Judge:
Hon. Elizabeth S. Stong

Dated: Westchester, New York
April 21, 2010

McCabe, Weisberg & Conway
Attorneys for Secured Creditor
by: s/ Lisa L. Wallace
Lisa L. Wallace, Esq.
145 Huguenot Street, Suite 499
New Rochelle, NY 10801
914.636.8900

TO: Ianthe Ashanti Cupid
653 Blake Avenue
Apt. 4A
Brooklyn, NY 11207

Pro Se Debtor

Richard J. McCord
Certilman Balin Adler & Hyman
90 Merrick Avenue
East Meadow, NY 11554

Trustee

Diana G. Adams
271 Cadman Plaza East
Suite 4529
Brooklyn, NY 11201

U.S. Trustee

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

In re:

Ianthe Ashanti Cupid,
AKA Ianthe Boreland,
AKA Ianthe Rodriguez

Debtor.

-----X

STATE OF NEW YORK)
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER)ss

MOTION TO
VACATE AUTOMATIC STAY

CHAPTER 7
CASE 10-40941
Bankruptcy Judge:
Hon. Elizabeth S. Stong

Lisa L. Wallace, the undersigned, an attorney duly admitted to practice before this Court,
affirms under penalty of perjury as follows:

1. That the jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1334(a)(b), 28 U.S.C. §157(a), 28 U.S.C. §157(b)(2)(G), and the Bankruptcy Amendments and Federal Judgeship Act of 1984.
2. I am associated with the law firm of McCabe, Weisberg & Conway PC, attorneys for the secured creditor OneWest Bank, FSB as Authorized Servicing Agent for Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee for GSR Mortgage Loan Trust 2006 (hereinafter "Creditor") to proceed with a foreclosure of its mortgage .
3. I make this Affirmation in support of an Order vacating the automatic stay entered in this Chapter 7 proceeding and permitting Creditor to proceed with the foreclosure of its lien against the real property known as 1702 W. Drescher Street, San Diego, CA 91101(hereinafter "Premises") which is owned by Ianthe Ashanti Cupid (hereinafter "Debtor").
4. That the Creditor is a domestic banking corporation organized and existing under

and by virtue of the laws of the United States of America.

5. That Creditor is the owner and holder of a certain Note and Mortgage in the original amount of \$401,250.00 dollars.

6. That as collateral security for the loan Debtor executed a Mortgage securing a Note on or about May 5, 2006. Said Mortgage is a first position lien upon the Premises. (A copy of the Mortgage is annexed hereto as Exhibit A).

7. That by reason of the Debtor having filed a petition under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York on February 5, 2010 Creditor is stayed from commencing a proceeding to foreclose its security interest in the Premises.

8. That the said loan held by Creditor is presently in default and that the Debtor has failed to make payments as required. That as of April 21, 2010, the Debtor is thirty four (34) payments behind on monthly mortgage obligations, to wit; July 1, 2007 payment in the amount of \$1,483.10 dollars to June 1, 2008 payment in the amount of \$1,483.10 dollars, July 1, 2008 payment in the amount of \$1,594.33 dollars to June 1, 2009 payment in the amount of \$1,594.33 dollars, July 1, 2009 payment in the amount of \$1,713.90 dollars to April 1, 2010 payment in the amount of \$1,713.90 dollars Totaling \$54,068.16 dollars.

9. That Creditor as the holder of said lien, according to the terms and conditions of said Note and Mortgage, desires to foreclose said lien.

10. That the Debtor presently owes Creditor an amount in excess of \$520,591.69 dollars plus legal fees and costs.

11. Based upon a valuation of the Premises said real property is valued at \$325,000.00 dollars (See attached appraisal, Exhibit B). However, since we are valuing the Creditor's interest

in the real property, i.e. its mortgage, and in order to realize that interest the Creditor would have to foreclose on the Premises, the Court should use a liquidation value to determine the Creditor's interest in the Premises. *In re Gould*, 348 B.R. 78, 80 (Bkrcty.D. Mass. 2006).

12. 11 U.S.C. §362(d)(1) provides in pertinent part that the Court shall grant relief from the stay imposed by Section 362(a) for cause, including a lack of adequate protection of an interest in property..." As set forth herein, cause exists to vacate the automatic stay as the Debtor has failed to make monthly mortgage payments to the Creditor.

13. Failure to make contractually due mortgage payments may constitute "cause" to modify the automatic stay under 11 U.S.C. §362(d)(1). "We have held numerous times that the continued failure to tender periodic payments to a secured creditor pursuant to the terms of an underlying loan may constitute "cause" for relief under §362(d)(1)." *In re Wright, Egan & Associates*, 60 B.R. 806, 807 (E.D.Pa.1986).

14. 11 U.S.C. §362(d)(2) provides in pertinent part that the Court shall grant relief from the stay imposed by Section 362(a) "with respect to a stay of an act against property under subsection (a) of this section, if-

(A) the debtor does not have an equity in such property, and

(B) such property is not necessary to an effective reorganization

15. In determining whether or not the debtor possess equity in the Premises under 11 U.S.C. §362(d)(2)(A) the Court should aggregate all liens encumbering the Premises and then subtract the value of said liens from the value of the Premises. "The classic test for determining equity under section 362(d)(2) focuses on a comparison between the total liens against the property and the property's current value." *Stewart v. Gurley*, 745 F.2d 1194, 1195 (9th Cir.1984).

16. After computing the outstanding mortgages and taking into account the

hypothetical costs of liquidating the Premises there is no equity in the Premises and the Premises is not necessary to an effective reorganization.

17. Creditor seeks an Order of relief from the automatic stay pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1) and (d)(2) (A copy of the proposed Order Vacating the Automatic Stay is annexed hereto as Exhibit C).

18. That Creditor may have to advance large sums on account of property taxes and hazard insurance to protect its security interest.

19. That by virtue of the foregoing, Creditor is not adequately protected.

20. The mortgage held by Creditor provides that the Debtor, the mortgagor therein, shall pay to the Creditor all sums expended by the Creditor due to the default of the Debtor including reasonable attorney's fees. Creditor respectfully requests that the Court grant Creditor \$550.00 dollars in reasonable attorney's fees plus reasonable expenses of \$150.00 dollars representing the filing fee of this motion, to be paid by the Debtor in the event there are surplus monies as result of the sale of the Premises.

WHEREFORE, Creditor prays that an Order be made and entered herein:

- (a) granting relief from the stay of the proceedings relevant to the foreclosure of its mortgage lien against the said Debtor;
- (b) granting Creditor permission to commence foreclosure proceedings, and
- (c) for such other and further and different relief as this Court may deem just, proper and equitable.

Dated: Westchester, New York
April 21, 2010

McCabe, Weisberg & Conway
Attorneys for Secured Creditor
by: s/ Lisa L. Wallace
Lisa L. Wallace, Esq.
145 Huguenot Street, Suite 499
New Rochelle, NY 10801
914.636.8900